WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
-	Miguel Angel Beltran-Coronado	Case Number:	11-6150M	
and was re	ence with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) epresented by counsel. I conclude by a preponder endant pending trial in this case.			
		DINGS OF FACT		
· _	preponderance of the evidence that:			
×		The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
X	•	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts	in the United States o	r in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the Un to assure his/her future appearance.	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha substantial family ties to Mexico.			
×	There is a record of prior failure to appear	in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law en	forcement contact by f	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	›	years imprisonment.	
Th at the time	of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in	ndings of the Pretrial Se the record. LUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cou	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of conditions	will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a correction appeal. The of the Unit	ne defendant is committed to the custody of the A ons facility separate, to the extent practicable, fron the defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opposed States or on request of an attorney for the Gor to the United States Marshal for the purpose of	Attorney General or his n persons awaiting or so ortunity for private cons vernment, the person in an appearance in coni	/her designated representative for confinement i erving sentences or being held in custody pendin- sultation with defense counsel. On order of a country of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distr Court.				
IT Services s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a th sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the e the potential third party custodian.	ird party is to be consid District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview an	
DATED this 19 th day of April, 2011.				
		*		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge